

April 17, 1979

LB 234, 253

others that have been involved in it, thought that I ought to check it out. I thought the amendment, when he mentioned it this morning, would be no problem. Since checking it out since then, apparently what's his name, the...Dunlevy, the court guy, he says it's a problem and some other people feel it is. One of the major purposes of this bill was to solve the very problem Don is talking about, that is being called too often, so we put the two year limitation in and we set up a system, a very broadly expanded, people in the pool now, to select from for the jury process. There is a fear apparently that in some of the smaller counties, or less populated counties, you may actually create a condition where you don't have a group you can even call a jury from if you go with this amendment. Okay, that's number one. Number two, there is another technical problem with the bill for which the bill has to be held today anyway. Everybody is blaming somebody else for who missed a word or left a word, or put the wrong word in. As I say, it is a technical correction. I don't even know what it is yet. The amendment hasn't come up. I just got word from Mr. Dunlevy and the bill drafter that there is an error. So, I've got a suggestion. Why don't we just let this bill sit, and Don can get together with the court reporter, or administrator, whatever he is, check that out, see if there is really a problem there, and we can get the other technical correction, and then we don't have to jack around any more here. Is that okay? Don says it's okay, so why don't we just pass over it?

SPEAKER MARVEL: Unanimous consent has been asked to pass over LB 234. Senator Lewis.

SENATOR LEWIS: Mr. Chairman, I certainly want to rise in the spirit of cooperation, but I do want to raise one question that I think will be a substantive question, particularly in some of the less populated counties. When you go to five years, what kind of an adverse effect is that going to have? And I'd ask Senator Dworak to look over those numbers and Senator DeCamp to check with the Maharishi and see if that is the same way they do that in other places. So if they could check on that piece of information while they're at it why it would be appreciated.

SPEAKER MARVEL: No objection. So ordered. The Clerk will now read LB 253.

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Read on Final LB 253.)