

April 11, 1979

LB 378

who is menatally disordered. Then there must be an additional action instituted by the county attorney. So, I am saying, why not have that apply, since this is a new thing which you are adding to the bill why not make that apply to all of them that is what I am dealing with.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Chambers, I agree that that would probably be a good idea. I'm not fighting the idea at all. The only thing that I am saying to put this thing on quickly on this bill, I don't think that it has enough study and enough study as to how the bill should be stated. As you well know the stating of this one problem alone is very difficult.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right, how much study has been given to your amendment which was just attached a few minuts ago and by whom?

SENATOR NICHOL: By whom would be by the staff of the judiciary together with their knowledge of having hearings the past year together with the invited members of this legislature who were the attorneys other than the committee.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But as far as the legislature as a whole is concerned, your amendment you would agree is news to most people in the body.

SENATOR NICHOL: That is correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if it could be adopted and it was, why can not the one that I am offerring which has merit be adopted in the same fashion?

SENATOR NICHOL: If you have the votes of course it can be adopted. I am not saying that it could not be. I was just suggesting that I thought in my mind that it should have more study as to handling the various kinds of disorders and how it should be worded in the law rather than quickly attaching it onto this bill. If proper study determines that such a handling would be proper, I would not oppose your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Nichol, do you feel that a mental disorder diminishes responsibility?

SENATOR NICHOL: No. I think the opposite. I think that it does require more responsibility on the part of law.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, no. I mean of the individual. Do you