

April 11, 1979

LR 378

are designed to give notice to the citizens of what they may be held accountable for should they engage in certain conduct. I don't think that there is any court which would require persons to be referred to a psychiatric journal or treat us to determine the definition of the terms in a criminal statute so that is why I raise the issue, but I am not arguing it. I just wanted to see what the thinking was of those that drafted the amendment. Since there could be more than one mental disorder and there will be a panel to deal with the evaluation of a person who falls within the clutches or confines of this definition, I think that something ought to be done relative to that term in the statute itself. I noticed that as we proceed into Section 3, there is to be a determination by the panel as to the status of this individual convicted of the sex offense and if the individual or his or her attorney is dissatisfied with that finding a second panel may be convened but, the individual might be required to pay the cost of that. Now, I'm not certain that when machinery like this is established by the state that the only way some people might have an opportunity to challenge the subjective determination of three people would be to convene an alternative panel at his or her expense would be fair or proper. Now, these issues are difficult to discuss because when you take issue with the way a law is drafted people tend to think that you approve of the conduct that is to be dealt with by that law but I assure you that is not my intention. However, I am concerned about the nature of the law itself. Now, I'm not sure if this panels determination would be made by a majority vote or just how, but forgetting that once the determination is made then the court is called upon to make a secondary or the final determination as to whether based on the evidence presented this person fits into the category of the untreatable or treatable or whichever.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Excuse me, but according to the scoreboard up here you are speaking to the committee amendments?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: To the committee amendment as....

SPEAKER MARVEL: You have one minute left.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes. Now, you can take an appeal from the courts decision and you will find that on the final page of the committee amendments. So, should there be one appeal which would include a consideration of the panels finding as well as the courts determination based on that finding, or should there be two levels of appeal. One that deals with the panel finding itself challenge that, and then