

April 9, 1979

LB 589

said they had offered the position to this person and the person simply disappeared. They had no knowledge where or what had become of her. They went back to the Commission with that report. The Commission then discovered that, in fact, this person had sought employment elsewhere, was employed and had not in fact returned the application to them, and declared the case to be resolved. There would be no further correspondence with regard to that particular application. Now would you say that that would probably close the issue and that the situation was resolved? Senator Fowler, would you then assume that inasmuch as the Commission had declared it closed, that that was the end of the situation?

SENATOR FOWLER: I must apologize, Senator Murphy, I didn't know that that all was leading into a question. So I stopped listening.

SENATOR MURPHY: Well, the upshot of it is that, in fact, the Commission then sent ten days later a letter demanding a listing of all persons who had been hired by that company for the last six months. Now if that Commission needs work that badly that they must harass an employer who having been complained against and the Commission itself having said the complaint was in error, then I don't think they need quite as much money as they have got and I think maybe they need a lot less. I also would assume that inasmuch as we are authorizing a much higher level of EOC work in Omaha, in the City of Omaha, that the expenses there going up should also contribute to a lessened workload at state level. I would have to support Senator Newell.

SENATOR NICHOL PRESIDING

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Newell, would you like to close please?

SENATOR NEWELL: Mr. President, members of the body, you know \$5,000 is not a big dollar amount but if you will look on page 43 of your handout you will find that what we are looking at here is that they are going to provide two different analysis of the Commission. One is a management study, and hire some consultants to come in and look at the work they are doing and suggest ways that they can improve the operation and the process under which they are operating and the second one is to provide for a conference and staff expenses related to in-service training for the Commission staff. Now what I am saying here is that I think that the Commission ought to have one or the other but not both.