

April 9, 1979

LB 593

the improvements for the coming year still would be required even for the lesser number or even getting down to the vicinity of 250, if that is the magic figure. Personally I question if they will ever arrive at that figure.

SENATOR KOCH: What you are saying then that this is good faith on our part because of the impact of Title 19 and so we are going to spend \$100,000 to plan for the construction of five new cottages, when in fact, we may have only 250 residents there within the next few years.

SENATOR WARNER: I did not anticipate, Senator Koch, that that \$100,000 will be expended. What I do understand is that it is necessary to indicate in the bill from the standpoint of HEW that there is funding proposed. It does not require to be spent, but funding proposed to provide the full number...in fact that includes 750 people and there is no reason to anticipate that funding will be used but we still must indicate as far as HEW as opposed to the federal court that the facilities will be available for the number of people who are at Beatrice today.

SENATOR KOCH: Thank you, Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: I might throw out, I know there have been letters. I have seen letters sent by the Department of Health to HEW because the Department of Health does the certification on behalf of the federal government seeking clarification of HEW's position in lieu of the restrictions by the federal court on renovation, and to date, at least I am not aware that HEW have reduced their requirements and neither have they acknowledged what they will do if the court continues to restrain the state from making some even minor renovations. It is up in the air.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Koch, your time is up.

SENATOR KOCH: Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Burrows, do you wish to be recognized?

SENATOR BURROWS: Yes. Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I just received a copy of the alternate plan of implementation as recommended by the Governor and in it I think some of the news release might be slightly misconstrued. He does mention the 250 figure as an important guidepost but I would like to read, in the first place it is captioned and so far I have just had really a few minutes to scan the article where the 250 has been mentioned in it as a guidepost, and then it goes