

SENATOR NEWELL: Senator Kelly, is a little bit evasive as to just exactly what his mail has been on this issue and he hasn't been really clear exactly what his great concern has been, but I think the real issue here is not the issue that former Senator and now County Commissioner Fellman has...tries to raise, and that is the issue of minority representation. Senator Fellman and now County Commissioner Fellman has most eloquently in a letter to the editor in another point of view expressed some of the reasons for district elections of the City Council and then he tries, because there has been election on that issue, then he tries to tie this issue up with it, which I think is a very good, and I can't remember exactly from my logic class what it is...is that an ad hominem argument, or whatever it is, but it's certainly not a very, it's a pretty fallacious argument is what it, in fact, is. Now I think what Senator Kelly is trying to do here is to waste your and my time. One of the things that I did when I offered to accept the DeCamp compromise was try to end this long debate and try to stop this delaying tactic so the Legislature could get to more important business. For that reason, I rise to object to the Kelly motion, which is in direct contradiction to what he proposed earlier, and I want to say that I don't think that these kinds of things will help much in trying to resolve this issue one way or the other. We have a compromise. The compromise ought to stick, and I would hope the body would follow that and reject Senator Kelly's motion now, and any further motions by Senator Kelly. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I think Senator Kelly is savvy enough to realize that his amendment changes the bill so drastically and radically that there is no real opportunity or chance for it to be adopted. I don't think that he expects that it will be adopted. I don't really know in this issue why he's on both sides of the question, unless it is to accommodate former Senator Fellman, but on district issues I have a great bitterness in my heart towards Senator Fellman, because he supported City Council by districts one year, Senator Kelly, when I had the bill and helped it get passed by the Legislature twice in the same session. Then somebody got to Fellman and he changed his mind, and all of a sudden everything that was true the day before was no longer true, and he persuaded two people to fall away, and the bill on the third time attempting to be passed got 23 votes instead of 25, and he, himself, said his