

April 2, 1979

LB 345

of this state could determine to put somebody out of this Legislature, I would be the one they would put out. That means that the people in my district could overwhelmingly make it clear that I'm the person who should represent that district, yet you want to throw it open to others to nullify that choice who do not want to see that that district has capable, competent and effective representation. I have heard it argued, and it seems illogical to me that the districts in Douglas County would be so large that it is equivalent to running at large. Now that kind of argument indicates first of all that the at large method is poor, because it does require a large amount of money and it is almost impossible for somebody without money, organization or name recognition to become elected. So they're saying, since a very large district is bad...or at large is bad, then districts which makes it five times as easy would be bad too. I don't understand that at all. By cutting this huge Douglas County into five portions, it does become to that extent easier to obtain election. When Senator Koch stated that the people who are elected in Douglas County are well known, he should realize that is the very thing we are trying to contend with, Senator Koch, where the only ones who can get elected are those who are well known, who have money to put their name on large billboards. We are talking now though about representation by people, or in behalf of people who may have to deal, Senator Koch, with scattered side housing, whether they be for or against it, not like a certain representative Lynch, and I agree with Lynch on some propositions, but he was surprised at some of the elements of the county housing program. It happened without his knowledge. Well, perhaps, he's concerned about other issues and cannot give his time to that one. So we do need district election. If you have five parts, Senator DeCamp, and each part is properly taken care of, that constitutes the whole being properly taken care of. As far as an individual being parochial and narrow in outlook, I think with my ethnic and racial background, I should be the narrowest person in here, but I would invite you to review the types of bills, the type of legislation which I have introduced and gotten passed by this body, the type in which I express an interest, and you'll see that it is not limited to rural, or urban, or black, or even poor all the time. So there are some of us, despite our strong devotion and dedication to certain core principles, will be able to expand our horizon and view, and take into consideration those interests that relate to the benefit and welfare of the whole. To take this issue, which some have described as political, and