

March 30, 1979

LB 327

and, of course, this is the general area that we have hassled before but I think it is more desirable to have these zoning areas within the zoning areas for villages and second class cities to be consistent with what state law is otherwise, with the exception, of course, of Lancaster County but I think the ten acres is a pretty well established rule that ought to be retained, and as I indicated, when I visited with some of the governing boards of some of the villages and one second class city in my district they preferred to have the figure at ten also.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Newell. Senator Newell. You are not speaking to the Warner amendment? We are speaking to the Warner amendment. Senator Fowler.

SENATOR FOWLER: Mr. President, I would support the Warner amendment as an effort to try and achieve and maintain consistency with regards to the acreage size in state statutes and I would hope that ultimately all counties and subdivisions could fall under this ten acre rule but I certainly think that we should support Senator Warner's effort to keep this at ten rather than the changes in the committee amendments.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I, too, rise in support of the Warner amendment. We have had a problem in the City of Lincoln, County of Lancaster dealing with the urban sprawl as a result of leaving the acreage limit at five. I think that there has been attempts in several sessions in the past trying to raise that to ten. I think that the City of Lincoln wants it. I know that we strongly support this amendment and I would hope that we can bring Lincoln in compliance with the rest of the state. I think it has worked for the rest of the state. We have had a problem in Lincoln, This takes care of that problem and makes us in conformance and uniform throughout the state and I support the Warner amendment.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator George.

SENATOR GEORGE: Thank you, Mr. President. I am in support of Senator Warner's amendment. I don't really know how this bill got on the so-called noncontroversial calendar. Number one, I do object as Chairman of the Urban Affairs Committee that this bill got to the Ag Committee because it deals with zoning of second class cities and I can't see why it was in the Ag Committee. That is one thing I don't call noncontroversial. Number two, we do have a bill in the Urban Affairs