

buildings, and impressive buildings, reeks of power. That's the NRA building, and it's a monument to their lobbying power, and they haven't touched this bill, and they've had a copy of this bill in their hands for several weeks and the amendments. Now the only argument against this bill is that it's gun control. That's the only thing we've heard in opposition to this bill. If it is gun control, the NRA would be the first people here beating on our doorstep...beating on our doors, standing out there in the lobby twisting arms. But you don't see NRA out there, but you have gotten letters saying they are not opposed to it. There's a lot of misconception about the bill, but that comes from people who have not read the bill, or the amendments and simply do not understand it. I oppose the Haberman motion. We're elected to come down here and make decisions based on what's put in front of us, based on the bill, not based on what someone who hasn't read the bill apparently misunderstands. I oppose the Haberman motion, and I hope that we can finally dispose of this bill on Final Reading.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Dworak.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President and colleagues, I oppose Senator Haberman's motion to indefinitely postpone. I don't think he gave us any reasons at all whatsoever to postpone or indefinitely postpone this bill other than the one editorial comment of about two paragraphs by an editorial writer in the World Herald. I think we've all been around long enough to know that editorial writers are not infallible. We've seen it on many issues, and I would suggest with the note that Senator Reutzler passed around, this again indicates that the infallibility with the press is a myth. I think the bill has been debated thoroughly. I think all the pertinent reasons have been discussed pro and con, and no additional information has been brought before us this morning to alter our opinion on the bill. I think if you were for the bill, or against the bill a couple days ago, we've seen nothing this morning to change that...or I haven't seen anything, anything tangible, anything concrete to change my opinion on the bill. The bill is aimed simply to aid law enforcement or conservation officers. Now we all know we have a shortage of conservation officers, and we keep demanding and the public keeps demanding that they do more and more work, but we're not giving them the tools to do that work. It's just absolutely folly to allow seven, or eight, or nine, or twelve, or a number of people hunting...going hunting when only one of them holds a deer permit, but they