

March 22, 1979

LB 23

SENATOR BEUTLER: So this law is now expanding it to include all those different types of vehicles?

SENATOR KOCH: Implements of husbandry.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Oh, it now says implements of husbandry, or vehicles designed for and used primarily for tilling the soil and harvesting crops.

SENATOR KOCH: I would say that what we're talking about here is this is an implement of husbandry, and Senator Kremer or others can correct me if I'm wrong.

SENATOR BEUTLER: If that's the case, then it seems to me that the amendment is broadly overdrawn, and I would oppose it on the basis that it's not a very exact one in the first place, and I'm not sure anybody knows what it's doing. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Frank Lewis.

SENATOR LEWIS: Mr. President, there's two things that I think are important to discuss here. First of all, I rise to oppose the DeCamp-Koch motion, whoever it belongs to... for two reasons. First of all, I believe this issue is not proper in terms of the bill before us. I think secondly, this issue has not been completely studied. I think thirdly, the issue has not been correctly explained. And I want to talk about those three items. First of all, this bill is not a bill relating to floating fertilizer spreaders, or to combines, or to any other device you want to mention. It is a bill for small motorized vehicles, two-wheel in nature, mostly used by young people and those who wish to save a little gas, Senator Hefner, I thought I'd mention that. That's what the bill is for. Secondly, the bill...the amendment that's come in is for two purposes, and I think some of them are legitimate purposes. I think that vehicles ought to be classified uniformly through the state. Nobody disagrees with that. But we need to understand there are implications in terms of finance for this. If you do make a vehicle business property, business property is exempt. It's going to have some economic effect...not in Omaha, not in Sarpy County, but out in your own counties. I don't know if this is the only vehicle that needs to be addressed like this. I would think, and Senator Carsten and the Revenue Committee would be the very appropriate place to do this, they should discuss this substantive issue and come back with some recommendations in form of a bill that would stand on its