

March 20, 1979

LB 447

reasonable standards, and the schedules outlined by the committee amendment adopted by the committee, and assure... and giving them that assurance, is premised upon a reasonable amount of compensation based for the work given. I would like to submit to this body that if Senator Murphy's motion is successful, if his objection is upheld, and we utilize the hundred dollar figure, a person who gives custodial care, no matter how many hours, no matter which days of the week, no matter which hours of the day, if they work twelve hours a day, seven days a week, receives a hundred dollars. That is not fair. This Legislature should adopt the concept that work expended should be compensated fairly, and that's what's adopted by this amendment. It's a sensible amendment that was drawn up and accepted by the Committee, in its wisdom and I would urge its adoption by this group at this time. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Hefner, do you wish to speak to the committee amendments? Senator Nichol, do you wish to speak to the committee amendments?

SENATOR NICHOL: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I'd like to ask Senator Landis a question or two, and, Senator Landis, it is this, I thought this bill was specifically designed so that a spouse, regardless of the spouse's ability, if they were giving custodial care, would be eligible to receive payments under the Workman's Compensation Law through the employer or the carrier. I thought that's what the bill was specifically set out. Now, any nurse may receive this now, may she not? I would think that...unless I missed the gist of the bill to start with, that it was to compensate the spouse regardless of their training. Now the...and the purpose of my asking this is that custodial care so often is not of the nature that requires someone to be a nurse, or whatever, but a person with ordinary ability can administer this custodial care. What do you say to that, Senator Landis?

SENATOR LANDIS: There is in the bill a provision for those care givers who are not relatives. The bill says they will be compensated at their normal rate. The bill then says, where the care giver happens to be a relative, they will be compensated if they are a nurse at the nurse's amount, an LPN at an LPN's amount, or if they are not a qualified medical practitioner, they will receive at the maximum the amount of the nurse's aid. The purpose of the bill is several fold, and that's one of the flaws in talking about a committee amendment before we talk about the bill as a whole. But in answer to your question, Senator Nichol, the