

March 19, 1979

LB 108

is adopted. Now is there another amendment, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Wesely moves to amend. His amendments are on page 738 of the Journal.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The Chair recognizes Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, the amendment that I have proposed to LB 108 is superfluous at this time so I withdraw it although I do feel it would have been a better solution to the problems that we have identified with the bill, however, I am satisfied with the amendment and will vote for the bill as amended.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Unanimous consent has been requested to withdraw Senator Wesely's amendment as found on page 738. Is there any objection? If not, so ordered. Senator Lewis. Whose bill is it?

SENATOR LEWIS: Business and Labor.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Maresh. Senator Wesely, do you want to advance the bill?

SENATOR WESELY: I move that LB 108 be advanced to E & R for engrossment. I will ask for a record vote on that, I mean a machine record vote.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The motion is to advance the bill. Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I would like to rise for just a few moments to speak against LB 108 at this stage in the debate. I won't take long but I would like to make a few what I believe to be salient points about the life of this rather tortured bill. It is a little orphan that has a difficult time trying to find somebody to make a motion to advance. The amended version of this bill that we just now amended represents the limitation of a concept and as Senator Lewis pointed out, without that limitation this bill is unacceptable to a large number of the Senators on this floor. But now we have limited the operation of a concept to those districts, those constituencies that are not our own. We have said to our University faculty who in a large measure, populate the staffs of many of the people who supported this last amendment, don't worry, we won't let LB 108 touch you. We won't let the Court of Industrial Relations and the Supreme Courts decisions