

lid proposals and the general feeling in the public. I support Senator Warner to kill this bill, Senator Warner's motion to indefinitely postpone this bill.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, could you give me just a second to turn to the bill?

PRESIDENT: I certainly will.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I would just like to bring it to the body's attention that the ones on the Judiciary Committee who signed the bill are Nichol, Pirsch, Stoney, Wagner, Reutzel, not Chambers, and I will tell you why I do that. Because the committee is apprehended by a lot of people this year as not being too knowledgeable, bills have been brought and offered without adequate explanation or justification. Because of the prestige that goes with the concept of the State Supreme Court or the court administrator's office, a bill and its merit will often not be adequately questioned and I think that as Senator Reutzel said, I was upstairs taking care of some other matters that relate to Omaha, the chairman should certainly be able to give a thorough explanation and justification of this bill but in all fairness to him, I don't know whether he can or not because when it was given to the committee, I'm not certain that a total explanation was given. There are a number of bills that the Judiciary Committee has introduced that I would not sign and I think those who bring these bills to the committee knowing of its inexperience should give, if necessary, a written justification and rationale so that that could be presented to the body. Then if that rationale and justification are not adequate to justify the bill, proper issue can be taken with those who have offered the bill instead of the members of the committee who are trying to do a good turn toward another agency of government and, Senator Warner, I hope that your motion to indefinitely postpone this bill and I hope some of the other comments that were made here this morning will come to the attention of the court administrator and whoever in the Supreme Court hierarchy if such there be, who are responsible for this bill will take note of those comments and what has happened this morning and maybe use a better approach in bringing bills before the Legislature. From listening to the discussion of which I have not been a part, I can't say that I have seen, Senator Reutzel, an adequate justification for