

March 14, 1979

LB 458

is a difference between information and propaganda and, of course, after you have been around here a little while and you have seen a few more of those Updates, I think you will recognize the propaganda that comes out of that once in awhile and I think we have been subjected to some criticism and justifiably so because it was not always done in the best professional manner. But I do want to say this, Senator Vickers, I share your concern. I do not know for sure which way I am going to vote on this bill at the present time but I do think that there comes a point in time when a public agency such as the power companies which have been subjected to extreme criticism and only this morning I heard another attack being leveled against the nuclear reactor plant because of a defect which was discovered which they said had been hidden for many, many years, and without some kind of recourse to (interruption)...

SPEAKER MARVEL: Thirty seconds.

SENATOR SCHMIT: ...information, I am afraid that if we are not careful, we may find ourselves being whipped around by a very small minority of people who are going to raise the cost of energy for all of us.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Johnson and then Senator Kahle.

SENATOR JOHNSON: I do have a question of Senator Vickers or of any member of the LR 161 committee. At any time has an Attorney General's opinion been requested or received concerning the constitutionality of limiting public expenditure of dollars for advertising?

SPEAKER MARVEL: Who is going to...Senator Simon.

SENATOR SIMON: Senator Johnson, I can answer the question. The answer is, yes, we can do that. There was an Attorney General's opinion quite sometime ago on that. There is absolutely no question about that. We could not do it probably for private industry and I wrote the Attorney General on that but on public we definitely can.

SENATOR JOHNSON: The only reason I ask the question, Mr. President, members of this body, is because during the last year the United States Supreme Court has held that the free speech protections of the First Amendment of the Constitution are violated when the State of Massachusetts prohibited private industry from taking advertising positions on public issues, and then in an inchambers decision enjoined enforcement of a Massachusetts statute that pro-