

March 13, 1979

LB 27

sure that Senator Kelly and others do as well. There is simply a disagreement as to what is proper. This bill does not need further review in any committee.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: I think we ought to go back to the original bill. Senator Cullan raised a good point. I think he is right. You are putting an obligation on the irrigator to anticipate the impossible, so to speak, and I would throw out another one now since he brought that one. Not only does he have to anticipate whether there is or isn't going to be a well replaced, I think because of the vagueness he has to anticipate the methods used. Now one of the common methods we use, well particularly in my territory and I'm sure out in yours, Sam, we go out with a sledge hammer and some pipe and a drive point and we hammer a sand point down right into the formation and we just go down deep enough until we penetrate that formation. That is not, in 1979 and '80, a very good method in terms of providing water. It worked fine for cattle when you didn't have such things as irrigation but with irrigation you have cone of depressions that don't damage the water table but they do affect these sand points, the sand point wells particularly, and I think you have got two or three major problems in the bill that you had better iron out. I think you have to adopt Senator Sieck's amendment but then I disagree with what you've got after that, so I think the original bill was the way to go and maybe you ought to go back there.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Kremer, do you wish to be recognized?

SENATOR KREMER: Mr. Speaker, I am going to take the initiative away from you but I am going to move that the bill be taken back to our committee and if anyone else that has an interest and would like to come in to review the bill and try to put it in shape so we will all understand it, I think I understand it. I think I understand what we are trying to do with Section (4). I think I understand what Senator Maresh is trying to do with Section (4) but I am sure we got the right language and unless we have the right language and know what we are doing, I think what we are moving, you know, not like what we are doing. So I am going to move, Mr. Chairman, that our committee take this back and try to straighten it out.