

March 13, 1979

LB 27

temporary or permanent interruptions in pumping because of the operation of the irrigation well in use at the time the replacement well was drilled. That specifically says that if you don't put that replacement well in where you are going to take care of the probability of interruption by the irrigation well. It takes it right in hand.

SENATOR KREMER: I don't think it says that, Senator Kelly. I think it says that if you would increase the capacity of that domestic well to the extent that it would hurt the irrigator, then it does not hold the same date as it did before. We applied the same principle to the irrigator as related to the domestic user. If he replaces a well it has got to be at the same capacity in order to hold his place of priority but if he takes a thousand gallon production well and increases to fifteen hundred, it is a different kind of a well and it is not a replacement well. It is a new well.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Kelly, you have got one minute.

SENATOR KELLY: Senator Kremer, that is fine but the word "capacity" does not show in here. What it says is that the probability that the replacement will temporarily or permanent interruptions because of the irrigation well.

SENATOR KREMER: That is right.

SENATOR KELLY: Which if you don't drill it deep enough you are going to get interfered with with the irrigation well and it is going to be the domestic well's fault. If they drill it deep enough and in probability, then the irrigation well is going to be liable and I think Sub-section (4) is what we want. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Before we go to further discussion of the Sieck amendment, let me introduce in both the North and South balconies, a hundred and eighty-five twelfth grade Government class students from Burke High, Omaha, Nebraska, in Senator Pirsch, Senator Simon and Senator Stoney's district with their instructor Dave Haar. They are in both balconies. May we welcome them to this section of the Legislature. Now we are speaking to the Sieck amendment to the bill. Can I have the attention of the Legislature please? Senator Maresh, do you wish to speak to the Sieck amendment?