

March 13, 1979

LB 27

So I do support Senator Sieck's attempt to remove Subsection (4) and I believe that attempt will follow shortly.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Maresh.

SENATOR MARESH: Mr. Speaker, I would object to striking Subsection (4). If there is any doubt about a domestic well being a good well, I have an amendment there that I would like to have the Clerk read.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Sieck has an amendment first and I am trying to get you to speak generally...

SENATOR MARESH: Well I hope Senator Sieck reconsiders his amendment. After he hears what my amendment would do...it would state that the domestic well, when the new well is drilled, to establish priority that it has to be drilled to a reasonable depth. I think we need to protect the domestic wells and leave Section (4) in there and to ensure that the well was a good well, that we could have this amendment in there, which is following.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Schmit, do you wish to speak now or do you want to wait until Senator Sieck makes his motion and then speak to that? Do you want to speak generally now? Okay.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Does Senator Sieck have a motion before the...?

SPEAKER MARVEL: He has an amendment.

SENATOR SCHMIT: I will wait until Senator Sieck makes his motion.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay. The Chair recognizes Senator Sieck. Do you have an amendment that you wish to present?

SENATOR SIECK: Yes. Mr. Speaker and members of the body, I would like to delete item 4 of section 2 of the Kremer amendment and my reasons for wanting to delete this, I feel it clouds the issue. In visiting with an attorney he said it does do this and that the present statutes do protect the domestic well and I feel by including this amendment in there that we could further cloud the issue as far as domestic well users and I don't think it is really helping the domestic well users. I, for one, would want to protect the domestic well users because