

March 12, 1979

LB 26

do it here, then I would be adamantly opposed to simply eliminating that section because we're back in the same boat that we were in originally, Senator Schmit, that there would be no limitation in terms of the expenditure level there, and so I oppose the Carsten amendment as currently to eliminate the section. Again, I offer that there's two possibilities. One, for a specific delimiting amendment, or two, leave it in and a subsequent change is made in regard to the overall posture of Legislative Bill number 1, passed in haste by this Legislature during a special session for reasons which I don't care to get into at this time, because it's not my nature, but we ought to keep this in now in some form, and I would oppose the complete elimination of it.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I agree with Senator Frank Lewis, that to eliminate the entire section would be incorrect. I believe that Senator DeCamp and Senator Carsten are coming up with some language that relates to Subsection 3 of Section 11. Is that right, Senator DeCamp? Would you answer that?

SPEAKER MARVEL: Do you want to yield to...

SENATOR SCHMIT: I will yield to Senator DeCamp.

SPEAKER MARVEL: A question from Senator Schmit.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Yes. Okay. We're dealing with real, real, real big fish and I wish we could take twenty minutes or half an hour and work this out right, because if you do it one way you drop a bomb that does something different and if...I...I think it's going to take a half hour or so to get it worked out. I don't know what to suggest other than if we could lay it over for a little while.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Senator DeCamp, I believe you have a good suggestion and I think Senator Cullan, would you concur? If Senator Cullan concurs and Senator Carsten concurs, I believe that the issue is complicated enough that it cannot be dealt with in a matter of a few minutes here, and that certainly we do not want to leave loopholes you can drive a train through, and therefore we ought to take this into deliberate consideration and be certain that when we draft it the language is correct, because otherwise as Senator Lewis has pointed out there will be future problems and future legislation. So, Mr. President, if I am in order, I would ask that we lay this aside at this time and have a chance to develop the amendment as it needs to be developed when we come back to 26.