

March 6, 1979

LB 59

crime. But if you beat somebody whose only offense in this society is that of being a child, that is no crime because the teacher is in the position of the parent. So if the teacher is going to be allowed to assume that, by the way, they can't do that with mine, because if they do it, then I feel I have some action to take toward the teacher.

SENATOR NICHOL: One minute please.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But the point that I am trying to make through all of this is that if the state has decided that it is in the best interest of these children to be immunized and the best interest of society, then the state can put itself in the place of these parents and require the immunization of all. Where Jehovah's Witnesses cases have been concerned and they don't believe in taking blood in any form, there have been judges who have appointed guardians who would allow the transfusions to occur and Senator Johnson and other attorneys and those who follow religious issues as they relate to the law are aware of these types of things. So what I believe you have here is a very bad bill and maybe what you ought to do is just not advance it right now until some things can be done with it.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: A question of Senator Koch, please.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Koch, would you respond please?

SENATOR KOCH: Yes.

SENATOR LAMB: Maybe you have covered this before but one more time for my edification. I fail to see the purpose of the amendment which referred to the religious tenets and so forth because over in section 4 it states that the parent with a written statement can refuse the examination or immunization without any reference to religion or anything else, is that not true?

SENATOR KOCH: Senator Lamb, that was placed in there at the request of a member of the committee and I don't recall whose request that was. Maybe it was you. But the point, the Christian Science Church asked me specifically to put that language back in that we had stricken in previous activity because they felt because of a religious tenet that it had to be distinct. Now then with the last section that Senator Chambers is concerned with which says that in writing you as an individual, you would not be claiming a