

March 6, 1979

LB 59

question, Senator Chambers. Why don't you give it to me one more time and maybe I can...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right. Can you require a person to put into writing that such and such is his or her religion and that is why he or she is taking a certain position and failure to put that in writing that you are going to exercise this religious privilege is a crime, the failure to put that in writing is a crime?

SENATOR JOHNSON: I don't know why you can't do that. This is really, boy, it is a real armchair opinion.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, then I will accept that there is no problem and then I will go with what (interruption) was.

SENATOR JOHNSON: The reason why I think that is true is I was thinking about the United States Supreme Court decisions in connection with the Selective Service cases where the Supreme Court held that a person could properly be a conscientious objector if that person had some reasonably established belief that there was some greater cause than fighting in the war and he didn't have to be a member of any established religion but the Supreme Court went on to state that the military of the federal government could require a fairly careful articulation and showing of that kind of belief on the part of the so-called conscientious objector.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And Senator Johnson...

SENATOR JOHNSON: So I would think that you could require the individual to put in writing that they hold this particular religious view.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But here is where I see a slight difference. There you are talking about an individual referring to his or her personal convictions. Here we have a second person doing something which effects a third person.

SENATOR JOHNSON: I agree with that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, anyway, thank you, Senator Johnson. Members of the Legislature, the state should be able to put itself in place of a parent to require immunization of a child if, as some people in the Legislature and schools believe, the teachers can stand in the place of a parent and beat children and the U. S. Supreme Court has so held even though you cannot beat prisoners because it is cruel and unusual punishment to beat somebody who has committed a