

people involved are required to step back and take a look, is that, is this really in the best interest of the unborn child of the mother, the father, the grandparents, the family, the friends? Is it really the best thing to do and if so, there is no restriction in this bill that would prevent the abortion to happen but it does cause consideration of a very important question. Now I read the words in the judge's opinion a little different than Peter Hoagland did and as I read these words it says to me, a particular kind of surgical procedure, and it appears to me that I know of no other statute that requires a person to wait before getting a particular surgical procedure for two days. Now that may easily be true and that may be something this Legislature should address but I submit to you, dear colleagues, that this medical procedure is always terminal. There is no recovery from this medical procedure and I believe we will have no problem writing into the statutes as those procedures that are terminal or those procedures that kill. They should be approached with caution and remember that we do not have a yellow light but any time we can give citizens of this state a yellow light to wait just a minute, we should do it. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Dworak.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President and colleagues, the Danforth case, as I understand it, and I am not an attorney, the Danforth case as I understand it, reiterated the concept of informed consent. This particular section really is another indication or another...or substantiates that informed consent requirement. So I think that those specific cases, those specific points, indicate that this particular concept very well could be constitutional. I also want to point out that I think this bill has a severability clause and I would like to ask Senator DeCamp a question if he would yield. Senator DeCamp, does this bill have a severability clause?

SENATOR DeCAMP: Absolutely.

SENATOR DWORAK: And what would that mean in regards to this particular amendment if this specific amendment were unconstitutional?

SENATOR DeCAMP: I don't think you can predict that with total accuracy. If I were arguing on the other side I would say there is no problem. I would say the severability clause is there. The judge has an obligation to sever this out, make