

February 26, 1979

LB 316

being included, and in this particular case, I would have to say that I support very strongly the right to privacy and that there should be a right to privacy in the State of Nebraska but I don't believe that this is the correct way of doing it. So if we really believe in open government, I think we have to close the back door and latch it in all cases, especially when we agree with what may be done in that manner. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, this is one of the most important amendments. I do sincerely hope you will oppose the amendment. I do sincerely hope you will retain the language of the bill. Now as I said the other day, let's just get things a little bit in perspective. Senator Beutler with his prepared talk just recited, oh, of course, Nebraska does need to recognize the right to privacy. Senator Nichol, my good friend, stated, yes, indeed, Nebraska needs to recognize the right to privacy. Do you know why they are saying that? Well, I will tell you. Nebraska is one of two states in the United States whose Supreme Court specifically said there is no right to privacy in the State of Nebraska. You heard me right. Our Supreme Court said that. Now they did not say there shouldn't be. Quite the contrary. They said that is up for the Legislature to "recognize and say it exists", for them to do something. For nine years, this is the ninth year, attempts have been made to say, yes, indeed, there is a right to privacy in the state and now it is up to the Legislature and the courts to establish just where it is and so on and so forth, but first of all, you have to even say it exists. We have never done that. Why? The media, the banks, the credit unions say, hey, let's just stall another year. They might not like some of our practices. Somebody might look back at something that is done and there might be trouble and the technique they have used over the years is really remarkable. On the bill that you introduce to say the right to privacy exists, they say, oh, we don't know quite what that means. Now it is time to draw up a laundry list of what it means. And so they play with Senator Landis' bill as they have played with others throughout the years and they will do it again this year and they say, A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, exception, exception, exception, exception, exception, confusion, confusion, and they end up at the end of the session and they say, daggone it, we need an interim study to try to figure out what we wrote in the first place and then they buy another year's time. Now I am telling you that the right to privacy issue has been before the Legislature