

Judiciary can't handle it. We can handle it, but anything we come out with will be objected on this floor. We could put amendments on it until the cows come home and they wouldn't be received by this body. A yellow school bus we can handle, but a situation of this nature will never be free of decisions of the Supreme Court regardless of what the Judiciary Committee puts on or members of this body put on such a bill. It will always be one that will be questioned, one that decisions will be made on and we accept this as the inevitable. I don't think that we will accomplish one thing by sending it back to the Judiciary. The people who are interested want to work on it. The lawyers want to work on it. The judges want to work on it. The Supreme Court wants to have a little input I guess, but to send it back to the Judiciary is ridiculous in my opinion because whatever we put on it will be argued out on this floor anyway. So I suggest we take the amendments that are now on it. If we want to change them, you can change them on this floor one at a time. I was disappointed that you did not divide the question, but you can still argue each section of this bill and each section of these amendments in whatever way you want. Leave it here. Let's face the issue. To put it back to Judiciary delays it. We have a decision to make. Whether they are right decisions or not, Senator Koch, I don't know any more than you do and neither do the members of the committee know nor any member on this floor know what each decision will be made in the future on whatever is passed here. My suggestion is, leave it here and let's deal with it.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Koch, I'm afraid I must agree with Senator Nichol that nothing would be gained by sending this bill back to committee. As a matter of fact, the same coalition would probably be able to hold together long enough under that whip out there out in the rotunda and wherever else they are. They would whip them right into line and we would have exactly the same group of amendments again. You would hear exactly with some variation because my memory, Senator DeCamp, is not totally accurate, basically the same arguments as I had given before, the same offerings and we would be right back where we are now with the loss of the time entailed. However, because it seems that a meat grinder was in operation and meat comes from livestock, if you are going to return it to a committee, it should probably go to Agriculture and Environment because as far as the seriousness with which this issue is dealt, I think any