

there could be a real possibility of women who are illiterate or unable to write being in this situation. So this amendment deals with the signature. What it would do, first of all, on page 4, line 1, is strike the words "be signed by" and show that as stricken. Then inserted in lieu thereof would be these words: "bear the signature of". Then there would be a new definition section and this would be the definition: (Read definition as found on page 562 of the Legislative Journal.) This definition for your information, before you think it is something from Russia, or China, or Ayatollah Khomeini, Senator DeCamp, is lifted verbatim from 29-109 which gives a construction of the word "signature" in connection with criminal matters. So it is merely taking a definition which already is found in the statute, placing it in this bill and allowing for the situation where a woman may not know how to write, therefore, be unable to sign this consent. That is the first amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Now, Senator Chambers, do you move the adoption of amendment #1?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: I want to test the air here to see whether we need to reproduce your amendments or not. Is there any discussion on the Chambers amendment? Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: A most reasonable amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Now let me go over the lights that I have on. Senator Koch, do you wish to speak to the Chambers amendment? Okay, I have no other lights up here. The motion and the second is to adopt the Chambers amendment to LB 316. All those in favor vote aye, opposed no. Have you all voted? Have you all voted? Clerk, record the vote.

CLERK: 35 ayes, 0 nays on the adoption of Senator Chambers' amendment, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: I am going to introduce Senator Chambers on the second amendment and then I will go to Senator DeCamp. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This amendment, if you don't listen carefully, it will throw you. It deals with a different or alternative definition of viability, so I would advise you to listen very carefully and I will tell you where the language came from. There was a Colautti case from Pennsylvania which gave language relative to the question of viability so before I explain the amendment