

it strikes out three sections...or provisions that currently are in the statute that are either unconstitutional or unenforceable. The two sections both deal with continuing appropriations, which the Attorney General has ruled some years ago, as a matter of fact, that the Legislature cannot have continuing appropriations, and we do not function with continuing appropriations, so it's to clean up the language to reflect what is constitutional and what we can do. The other repeal section that is identified in the bill takes out of the statute some provisions that were inadvertently put into permanent law that was a part of an appropriation bill about four or five years ago, and that appropriation bill had a requirement for a report from the University on staff that either were earning outside income over \$10,000 from consulting work or contracts, and that too is unenforceable and obviously you cannot pass substantive law on appropriation bill. So this merely cleans up those sections of law. There is no change as a result from what we're doing if the bill is passed, whatsoever, but it does take out that obsolete or unenforceable language.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Nichol.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Warner, may I ask you a question, please?

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner, will you respond?

SENATOR WARNER: Certainly.

SENATOR NICHOL: And that is, there was a Professor Erskin... is this correct, is it Professor, is that his title, that objected to the bill. What was his objection?

SENATOR WARNER: Ch, he's one of the vice-presidents for administration's central system's office, and in all honesty I don't think anybody on the committee was sure why he was objecting.

SENATOR NICHOL: You mean he's the vice-president and he couldn't articulate any better than that?

SENATOR WARNER: No...I think they had a concern that some how or other by taking this out we were changing the current system, and we're not changing anything, and I think he probably understood afterwards that that was the case, but he appeared on the side of opposition.

SENATOR NICHOL: But the committee apparently didn't think