

February 6, 1979

LB 9

carefully at it. The problem is when he scraps this surgical language, you have instruments that are used non-surgically by optometrists. At the same time they can be used surgically by doctors. For example, a scissors can be used in an operating room during surgery. At the same time, a scissors can be used to cut cloth, to cut thread, to do any of these things. So by dealing with this language recklessly, he could put...create the problem of optometrists not being able to use just normal instruments in a completely nonsurgical manner. Senator Clark's amendment correctly strikes "miotics" in agreement on this issue but preserves the right for optometrists to continue using instruments they are now using in a nonsurgical manner. So I urge you to adopt the Clark amendment if you are going to deal with this subject at all.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Koch, do you wish to be recognized on the Clark amendment?

SENATOR KOCH: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a question of Senator Clark, if he will yield.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Clark.

SENATOR KOCH: If I understand you correctly, you also are removing "emergency purposes", aren't you?

SENATOR CLARK: That is right.

SENATOR KOCH: And one last question, going back....

SENATOR CLARK: I am removing all miotics for emergency or anything else.

SENATOR KOCH: Pardon?

SENATOR CLARK: I am removing miotics for emergency or anything else.

SENATOR KOCH: All right, then one last question, Senator Clark, when the optometrist take this course in pharmacology and whatever is needed for background, you say they have already taken the examination, is that correct?

SENATOR CLARK: They have taken a five hour examination.

SENATOR KOCH: Administered by whom?

SENATOR CLARK: By the people who gave the course, accredited