

February 2, 1979

LB L50

SENATOR PIRSCH: No, as I understand it, not constitutional. It is simply a matter of the timing of when these special assessments are due at a different time than taxes. They are treated differently as far as collecting and that is the only problem. It does not have anything to do with the collection.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I am not arguing the bill itself right now.

SENATOR PIRSCH: It is just a matter of billing, just a simple billing.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And people are to get the impression that this has the same status as any other item on that bill. Isn't that what the purpose of it is, to give them the impression that the two are exactly the same?

SENATOR PIRSCH: It is simply to list what they owe on that property. They owe these taxes and they owe these special assessments.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do these special assessments hold the same status in law as the other liens that might be there?

SENATOR PIRSCH: I can not answer that. I know you can put a lien on your property for special assessments but I can not answer that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Pirsch. Senator Newell, have you looked at this bill? Can you answer the questions that I had asked because I think it was before your committee?

SENATOR NEWELL: ...County Commissioner? (mike not activated properly.)

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, and the special assessment that they want to add, would it have the same status in law as these other matters that would be billed by the county?

SENATOR NEWELL: What other matters? You mean like condemnation and those kinds of things?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All the other things that the county would put on the billing for them, for the county, on their billing, would this special assessment have the same status in law as those items?

SENATOR NEWELL: Senator Chambers, the debate in the committee