

January 29, 1979

LB 9

SENATOR CLARK: Are they doing it now?

SENATOR HABERMAN: Yes.

SENATOR CLARK: I don't really know, because they're not inducing it. They're not allowed to use a mydriatic to start with.

SENATOR HABERMAN: But you did make the remark that if they didn't use it they could be sued for malpractice, so it seems as though they are using it.

SENATOR CLARK: No, no, wait a minute, I said if it's induced, then they could be sued for malpractice if they didn't take care of it. You see there is a difference here. They can not use a mydriatic now, they can not use any drops in the eye.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Even in the case of an emergency?

SENATOR CLARK: There is no emergency now for drops in the eyes. None. Because they can't use them. What this bill does is allows them to use diagnostic purposes but, if a narrow angular glaucoma is induced by a mydriatic, then they would have to treat that in the emergency treatment I think, John.

SENATOR HABERMAN: But, they could use it without it being in the bill for emergency treatment only.

SENATOR CLARK: Oh, if it is a great thing take it off. It isn't that great a thing because they are going to have to use it anyway. Dr. Smith said that.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Well fine, then that solves the problem. We will just take it off and let it go.

SENATOR CLARK: Well fine, if it makes someone happy to take it off if they want to change a bill, take it off. It is nothing to me. Let someone go blind, I don't care about that. How silly can it be?

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Schmit, do you wish to speak to the Dworak amendment?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, very briefly I would say that I support Senator Clark's conclusion and I support the Dworak amendment. I believe that the Dworak amendment is specific and I believe that in spite of Senator DeCamp's