

January 24, 1979

LB 27

there is no constitutional protection and I was misinformed as to prioritization or the fact that domestic water uses would receive first consideration. Then, in fact, this bill would very seriously affect domestic use as opposed to commercial irrigation?

SENATOR KREMER: No, sir. This bill in no way changes the priority system, Senator Dworak, in no way. If it comes down to the place where the water supply runs out, then the domestic user, of course, would be prior to the user of the irrigation well. That does not change the priority system in no way and neither does it deny any man's or woman's day in court.

SENATOR DWORAK: Senator Kremer, why am I so confused on what is in the Constitution as providing water? Could you expand on that a little bit and I think it is Article XV, Section 6, what reference to (interruption).

PRESIDENT: Senator Dworak, you have one minute.

SENATOR DWORAK: Thank you, President Luedtke. What is the constitutional reference to water then? If it isn't prioritization, maybe you could offer some clarification? I think this is important for the record.

SENATOR KREMER: I think you are right, but, Senator Dworak, when I came to the Legislature in 1963, the statutes did spell out who is senior in priority use of water. That statute was reviewed, I believe, in 1965 or 1966.

SENATOR DWORAK: I am talking about Article XV, Section 6 of the Constitution.

SENATOR KREMER: I believe I am right in saying that it is silent on the priority use of water.

SENATOR DWORAK: But what is the reference in the Constitution?

SENATOR KREMER: I don't know at this point. I sure would be glad to check it.

SENATOR DWORAK: Thank you.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Lamb. I believe this is the last speaker who wishes to speak and then Senator Kremer will be ready to close and try to get this wrapped up this morning yet.