

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner, will you respond?

SENATOR WARNER: Yes, if I can.

SENATOR KAHLE: Have you, and I am sure you have, gone into the impact that this will have on the statutes, in other words the number of places where mills are mentioned where it would have to be changed and what this cost might be? Do you have any figures on that?

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Kahle, as you know, the bill is 317 pages and someone told me there was like a \$5,000 printing cost associated because of the length of the bill. I think the significant thing about that, however, is, and this would not have been possible had we not been on the computers with the statutes probably, but the thing that is interesting is how many sections of law exist that authorizes mill levies for a variety of purposes and it is like four or five single page typewritten sheets.

SENATOR KAHLE: Are there a number of places in the statutes that call for mills outside of this document that you are talking about?

SENATOR WARNER: Yes, there are other places in the statute that had reference to mills other than for purposes of levying taxes, and to my knowledge, all of those have been pulled out.

SENATOR KAHLE: Okay, that is what I wanted to know. Now the limits that now exist will be the same limits only it will be in cents instead of mills.

SENATOR WARNER: They are in no case higher, and in some instances they could be a fraction of one hundredths or more cent less. It only carries out three decimal points instead of four.

SENATOR KAHLE: I certainly support this concept because I think speaking in mills is confusing to many, many people. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President, Senator Kahle asked the question that I was really going to direct at Senator Warner in regard to the effect this might have on all of the statutes. We have so many that mention mills in it that I simply...so the question that I had has been answered, but I simply rise in support of this bill.