

again at a hundred percent, and starting in 1953 up to now, it has varied from time to time as to what assessed value was but it seems to me that for clarity, for understanding, it is appropriate that we return to the procedure we originally had and I would urge that the body advance the bill. One other thing I might mention, questions have been asked of me if I am sure that all of the calculations in the bill are correct in the changing from mill levy over to cents per hundred dollars. It has been checked at least three interims of the Legislature by staff and I have asked again. At least there is three different research type of agencies within state government I have asked again to check each of the calculations to make sure they are correct. The first one that came to me was identifying a mistake and it was a pretty good indication why we ought to make the change because the person who was making the change or making the calculation made an error in using mill levies and changing that over to cents per hundred dollars because they weren't doing the mill levy calculation correct and it was almost indicative that we are simplifying the procedure rather than complicating it because the person who had done that had had a problem of translating the mill levy proposition. With that, Mr. Chairman, I would move the bill be advanced.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Dworak.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President, I have a question of Senator Warner.

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner, will you respond?

SENATOR DWORAK: Senator Warner, I couldn't understand what you said on the implementation date. I thought I heard you say 1984 and it should be rolled back to 1981, am I correct?

SENATOR WARNER: Yes. Senator Dworak, if the bill carries 1984 because that is what it was carrying during the last session, really the reason 1984 was used then was it tied in with other legislation dealing with reappraisal and I had it initially drafted that way. It is my opinion that two years is going to be ample time to work ourselves out of the problems of equalization of values and that January 1, 1981 is a more appropriate time but I was not going to offer an amendment for that until Select File if we have a better feel that that is a reasonable time.

SENATOR DWORAK: Okay, my next question, Senator Warner, is, as I understand this, we are instead of putting values on at thirty-five percent of value, we are putting values on at a hundred percent of value. Instead of talking in terms of