

January 18, 1979

help for the new administration and their concern about keeping the thing in place, again Senator Newell had the frustration of poor timing, and it was not his fault. That was not meant to be critical to Senator Newell. A number of us discussed and visited about this issue, and I am prepared to vote for the amendment. I am prepared to vote for the rule change with the understanding it takes place in '81, with the full understanding after more attempts to review and look at it as changes take place, that might be changed back again. I think it's a reasonable compromise. It does not alter our rules or our structure at all right now. I would recommend that we would vote for the amendment. Vote for the rule change. Then that gets that out of the way as far as any kind of rule fight now, and we can reevaluate and rethink the issue come 1980 and 1981.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Nichol and then Senator Chambers.

SENATOR NICHOL: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I don't think I am in favor of the bill itself, the rule change itself, and I am not in favor of Senator Newell's amendment either. So, what I'm saying is that this rule change I think would lead to frustration more so than perhaps Senator Newell's frustration at the moment. Why do we have the Executive Board in the first place? If we don't want them to handle this, then let's take it away from them. If they're not capable...I think they are capable, we elect them, we should abide by their decision. We already have a remedy for it. If we're not satisfied, we can appear as Chairmen of various committees...say to them, look this bill shouldn't go to this committee it should go to mine. We can do that, and the Executive Board, in their fairness, I think will reconsider if they think they should, and if they do reconsider they have the right to change this. But to go along with this rule change I think would add to a lot of frustration, and now to speak specifically to the amendment, this just delays the agony for 2 years. If it's good 2 years from now, why isn't it good now. I don't think any members of the Executive Board would object too strenuously or the Committee on Committees. If it's good, it ought to be good now, so I object to both the amendment and the rule.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I heard some of the discussion in my office upstairs, but some things are not clear in my mind, so I'd like to ask Senator DeCamp a question. Senator DeCamp, will you yield to