

a time sequence, and this is one example where 76 is being expedited, sometimes it's not just possible to do that reconciling, so Section 1 is very simple and plain. It permits, where there is not a conflict, that the Revisor enters both bills in. Section 2 is the situation where two bills may be enacted that are in conflict, then the historical precedent of the bill passed last in time would be the one that would take precedence, but it would also require the Revisor of Statutes to come back and identify that to the chairman of the appropriate standing committees so that legislation, if necessary, could be enacted the following session through probably...or sometimes through the Revisor of Statute process. The 3rd Section of the bill deals with the situation that brought about the special session last summer in relation to Criminal Code, and we have had more and more legislation in recent years. It had some kind of a deferred implementing date some point into the future with the repeal section also at some point into the future, and essentially what this says is as...the first bill is enacted and after it has once been placed in the statutes and has been assigned numbers...it was held last year that any reference had to go back to those numbers within the statutes. This would make it clear that reference to those kind of bills by referring to the act of the session rather than just the numbers would be permitted, and that there is no problem about clarity of doing it that way, it would still be retained into the statutes appropriately with special notation so that those who were using it would be clearly...clearly understand, but I think it would facilitate...the passage would facilitate avoiding the kind of problem that came up. Now, the 3rd Section isn't as necessary. Bill drafting probably can watch for it, but if it is in there it could possibly avoid a problem in the future like we had last time.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The Chair recognizes Senator Kremer first and then Senator Nichol. Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER: Mr. Chairman, a question directed to Senator Warner, please.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Warner, do you yield? Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Yes.

SENATOR KREMER: Senator Warner, a question. I'm not in opposition to what we're trying to do here, but my question is this, is there any way that the bill drafter's office could identify the bills that would do practically the same thing that Section 1 in LB 70 does, and not allow legislative process to go on through and all that expense until it gets to the bill drafter's office when he has the authority under this bill