

April 9, 1974

SENATOR MARVEL: I would respond to that question and also respond to my interpretation of the language on page 6 of LB1056, lines 5 through 8. I know of no discussion in the committee. Now, other members of the committee can respond to this too, I hope. If what I say doesn't agree with their understanding. But I know of no, no discussion that was so restrictive that there isn't some latitude in the way the buildings are constructed. We are interested in progress at Beatrice. We're not interested in trying to stop construction. And so, Senator Fellman, the discussion that I remember, plus this language in my opinion, gives enough latitude that we are not limited in design I guess I want to say.

SENATOR FELLMAN: Was there any specific limitation intended to limit the construction to a particular design?

SENATOR MARVEL: As far as the committee was concerned? Not that I know of.

SENATOR FELLMAN: Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Senator Fellman.

SENATOR FELLMAN: That's all.

PRESIDENT: All right, Senator Goodrich.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Would Senator Carstens, Senator Fred Carstens yield to a question, please?

PRESIDENT: Senator Carstens yield?

SENATOR F. CARSTENS: Yes, I yield.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Fred, in my construction days, I've seen some pretty exotic plans and in fact, I've deliberately designed some but I have never seen anything quite this exotic myself. And I was wondering, would you explain to us just how you can arrange a bed in a room arrangement in these bedrooms? That's the thing that's got me puzzled as the devil, I don't see how you can possibly arrange the furniture in a room like this. And I'd like for you to tell us how it's done.

PRESIDENT: Gentlemen and Senator Goodrich, I'm going to object in line of the fact that the motion is only to suspend the rules and return LB1056 and I know that's difficult to draw the line but this Chair has been consistently criticized for not trying to limit the debate and in order to try to keep it contained to the subject. Now, I'm going to step in and I'm going to say that just is not fair to debate that particular topic when all we're under discussion is to suspend the rules and to consider LB1056. And the design has been thoroughly discussed, I believe. And it has also been stated. Now, I'm sorry, Senator Goodrich, but I feel like that's a correct ruling. Now, Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Well, either Senator Carstens or Senator Stahmer, so this is clear. Senator Stahmer, what you did was remove the appropriation for these cottages?

SENATOR STAHMER: No, sir, absolutely not. The money is still there. It is my intention that cottages be built and I think if the original design by the engineer that worked for Institutions were followed, they will be built and be built faster than if we follow this exotic plan. It does not