

April 8, 1974

ten dollars to thirteen. This decision should be made and then we make the decision on the amendment of Marvel amendment whether you are going to advance or reject it. I think that we are talking about the entire amendment at this time.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Next to speak is Seantor Savage, we are speaking only on the Burbach amendment on 632.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Mr. President, members of the legislature, the purpose of this bill is to give food tax credit to the people and I think that we should go back to the original Maresh bill and forget about the amendments.

PRESIDENT: Next to speak is Senator Duis.

SENATOR DUIS: Pass.

PRESIDENT: Alright, move on to Senator Simpson then.

SENATOR SIMPSON: Mr. President, everyone was speaking on the wrong amendment, I would too, so I would hold off at this time.

PRESIDENT: Thank you, Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY: I dido Simpson.

PRESIDENT: Any further discussion?

(End Belt #17A)

(Start Belt #18A)

Senator Warner?

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, I appreciate the difficulty of restricting the one argument but I again repeat that the Burbach amendment I would hope the body would adopt and I have not heard anybody speak in opposition to increase the present food credit to \$13 and that is all the Burbach amendment does. I would assume that this could be adopted virtually unanimously. Then I would like to speak on the amendment as amended at that point.

PRESIDENT: Lets see if we can get it at that point then. Senator Duis, do you want to talk on the Burbach amendment? We are trying to speak only on the Burbach amendment at this point.

SENATOR DUIS: Yes, Mr. President, I feel that the Burbach amendment will give the relief that was asked for in 772, when it was asked to give the renters, those people who rented property some relief. I sincerely think that by giving this food credit we will be giving that relief that those people who oppose 772 because of the renters that particular group of people that this will now give them the relief but it would be more difficult to try and give the renter relief because he skips from one house to another as he goes to different places for his profession or his work and consequently this would be more equitable than that of giving him rent relief by giving it to him in his food items. Of course I think that it is just much more sensible to do this a than to raise the tax in Douglas County on motels