

law, by then there would be no point for that additional \$5.00 so it is logical that \$5.00 is dependent, the additional \$500 food credit is dependent upon 772 becoming law.

PRESIDENT: Senator Syas.

SENATOR SYAS: I favor the \$5.00 any how. BEcause Senator Burbach figured up that the increase in groceries \$18 is about right.

SENATOR WARNER: No, that was including...that was at a 3½% level Senator Syas, including the sales tax for state aid. \$13 is the figure that the revenue committee used and the staff arrived at that was the amount of sales tax paid at 2½% level on the average purchase of food which is something over a little \$500 per year per individual.

SENATOR SYAS: Yes, but the increase in the cost of groceries and then we are going to have an increase in sales tax and income tax anyhow, even without 772.

SENATOR WARNER: What increase without it?

SENATOR SYAS: We will have an increase in he sales-income tax without 772.

SENATOR WARNER: That is something that you are advocating not me Senator.

SENATOR SYAS: I think that it is quite sure.

SENATOR WARNER: I'm sure that it isn't.

PRESIDENT: Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: I think that Senator Syas is right again today, twice today. What we are still talking about the same thing that we were talking about this morning that you can't take this money out of 772 and not reproveid for it somewhere. Now if you are going to do this you ought to do it out of the general fund. But to depeat LB772 in state aid by eleven million dollar s is going to mean that you have got to refund that somewhere else. You are probably going to do it by another increase in sales and income tax. So here people....Senator Marvel got up this morning and said that I am against poor people and that they need some relief because we passed 772 is like listening to a record of myself a week ago when I said that we should not pass 772 because it hurts the poor and those on fixed incomes. That is exactly what it does. Senator Marvel says that today but he didn't say that when he voted for 772. I don't know when he found it out, but sure 772 hurts people on limited incomes. That was the exact argument against 772. Now you want to say that we are going to give them this big break on their food exemptions but you are going to put it right back on them because you are going to put it right back on them because you are going to put another penny on sales tax and another 2 or 3% on the income tax. To make up what you gave away in the food tax exemption. It makes no sense it is a big gain and the poor and limited income are going to wind up the losers no matter what you do. I would have to oppose this amendment taking this out of the school fund.