

SENATOR DICKINSON: Are you then sustaining his ruling or do you choose to take your legal responsibility and make a ruling of your own.

SPEAKER: He made the ruling while he was in the Chair and that is the way it stands. Senator Dickinson.

SENATOR DICKINSON: I ask unanimous consent to be excused this afternoon and Monday please.

SPEAKER: Any objections? So ordered. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, point a personal privilege. There comes a time when the legislature does the kinds of things that even I would say are irresponsible. Now we can set rules and talk about how we have got to change them and I am one strongly opposed to doing some of the things that put us into the position that we are in now. Then like children we turn around and undo it and make shambles we a...a..look at how many times the rules have been suspended to move bills off general file already by some of the strongest supporters of requiring 25 votes to get an amendment to a bill on general file. There has to come some point where we are going to be individuals and by that I mean thinking individuals. When a rule of the legislature, not only this legislature, but if anybody can be altered by the decision of one man and a minority vote where a majority vote is required, a majority of elected members can accomplish what only a majority of elected members is to accomplish then I would say that there has been a total advocacy of leadership and I would say that the rules have been made a mockery and the only rule in this legislature is like a rule in a card game full of cheats. There is no rule. If you don't cheat then you are being foolish. You are not taking advantage of the situation as has been mutually agreed upon. I think what has just happened was a travesty. But Senator Barnett is not to blame, Senator Barnett was engaging in a little fun and he got enough members of the legislature to go along with him to bind this body apparently. But I still feel that in spite of what was done here that a vote of fewer than the majority of the elected members can not bind this body with reference to adjournment or reconvening. I would think that there is nothing for anybody to do now but sit back and watch what additional. Senator Barnett, out of regard to you I'm not going to use the adjective that I was going to use. I will see additional actions will occur in this body. I will tell all of you this. When you got upset the other day and were so quick to vote to change the rules and make things more difficult, I told you that this was going to happen so you make me a prophet. Now it has happened and the same ridiculous things that are going to happen today are going to happen the rest of the days. So the sooner that this body can adjourn, the better it will be. I don't mean by not filling out the required 60 days. But what I can envision happening is that you will vote to meet one day a week for the next six weeks. If you get enough people to do it or to get somebody in the Chair to rule that minority vote, can carry the day then you might have 48 people voting no that we should meet that way, and one person voting aye and the Chair saying that the motion carried and now over rule me, and you may not overrule him because sometimes people are in a joking mood here and they will do silly things that have very serious consequences. My words are like words written on water I understand. They are not having any impact on anybody