

March 28, 1974

explain the fact that there are two separate and distinct sections of this bill changing to, either relating to, two issues. The first one is the one that Senator Carpenter has proposed amended. It's the language on page two. This deals with language that applies to both public and private universities. It used to be, or in the current law, there is a phrase that says that no alcoholic liquor other than beer shall be sold for consumption on the premises, than 300 ft. from the campus of any college or university in the state. Now this applies to both public and private institutions. And it's the private institutions such as Creighton, students at Creighton that are primarily interested in having this removed, so that they could have an on campus, on sale facility. Now, we would insert new language, which would say, alcoholic liquor may be sold on the campus of any college or university in the state. This not preclude the governing board from being involved in the decision, because you would have to get a liquor license to sell it. We're not taking any governing authority away, from any University Board, but we are allowing private university to get a liquor license, in moving all language. The second part of the bill is on page 3. This deals just with public institutions and it provides that alcoholic liquor may be consumed just in the individual living quarters of the residence hall or living unit. This would mean that those who are able or are of the age of maturity, majority 19, would be able to drink in their individual living unit. This would not apply to any other portion of the campus. It would not apply to classroom building, the student union or athletic facilities. It would simply make the room in the dormitory similar to the facilities, we find in state parks, we find where state park officer lives, or the Governor's mansion or other things like public housing that are government owned facilities, that individuals live in them and are able to consume alcoholic. So I would wait for the debate. That explains the bill.

**PRESIDENT:** Senator Stahmer. We have other amendments to the bill. Do you want to discuss the bill in general, or do you want to wait until we handle these now.

**SENATOR STAHMER:** Well I'd...when this amendment comes up, I'd like to divide it. We are dealing with public universities and one instance, and private in another. I think that question, should be divided.

**PRESIDENT:** Thank you. Let's handle the first amendment then. Frank Lewis did you want to be heard on this. Before we take up with the amendments here. Senator Frank Lewis. Stand by.

**SENATOR FRANK LEWIS:** Mr. Chairman, I think that, I don't think I was on the committee. I was on the committee and heard the bill, and I think that we've got a problem here of trying to place a legitimate problem in affect in the University, and I think this has been done well, without over shadowing it with all the moralistic tones that we can provide for it. Now only a fool knows that if you stand and you oppose publically and personally, liquor and gambling and some of those things, that's a very fine attribute to do it, and I lived in a state for 25 years where I knew a lot of people that were publically dry and personally wet. And I think that's one of the issues we face here. We are facing some serious problems in this campuses. We are talking today about two campuses, and one of their main problems is dorm occupancies. And that's created by the fact that people can create their own life style. And we had our head in