

March 22, 1974

words in the spring, next session would the Legislature not have to make some kind of an estimate over which the cost of living would not exceed to provide for the funds. I'm not clear how you would determine in next year's budget bill to have enough to cover whatever the cost of living might be on July 1, 1975.

SENATOR GOODRICH: You are absolutely right. It would be an estimate based on the current figures available

(End Belt #2A)

(Start Belt #3A)

and that's that you are appropriate with right now. All the ADC appropriations, is a guess.

SENATOR STAMMER: Well, in other words, if you guesstimated that next spring for instance when the Legislature is in session. The cost of living might be rising 10%, you would perhaps provide 12 or 13% with the understanding that no more than the cost of living would be provided. Is that what you are trying to say here.

SENATOR GOODRICH: That's what...that's the point...that's what it boils down to.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President and members of the Legislature I will be very brief. I'd like to ask Senator Goodrich just a couple of questions before I comment.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Sure.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Goodrich, with the adoption of the amendment that you want. Would you support this bill.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would you support it in...

SENATOR GOODRICH: Let me interupt, there is one more amendment coming that would make me support the bill.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's enough, thank you. Members of the Legislature, I would suggest that we not put any amendments on this bill at all. We have to get what we are able to get from the body. This bill has been in the back of most peoples minds and in the forefront of others when we talked about an increase in ADC assistance. I think the bill as it stands is about what we are going to get. It should be veto proof, because it is closely parallels with what the Governor said he thinks ought to be done in the area of ADC. So I think no amendment, which will muddy the water. Which will leave issues up in the air as to how much money is going to actually paid by the state, all such amendments should be rejected. So I'm asking ~~that~~ you reject Senator Goodrich's