

March 22, 1974

(start belt #4)

a copy of the newspaper clipping which may have very well generated the editorial Senator Proud. I'm sending around a copy of the newspaper clipping which may have very well generated the editorial, since I did read the editorial on last nights paper.

SPEAKER PROUD: That is wonderful, because I'm going to...

SENATOR MARSH: But I am very curious and would like to read this to the body.

SPEAKER PROUD: Wish you would.

SENATOR MARSH: This is from Nashville, Tenn. After hearing...

PRESIDENT: Senator Carpenter, they are speaking on a point of personal privilege and it is in reply to something that which either relates to the activities of the legislature and under the rules of the legislature I see no...

SENATOR MARSH: Senator Carpenter, I did not start this, I believe that Senator Proud instigated this. I felt that it was...

SENATOR PROUD: He wants to print it in the Journal, so why not let him print it in the Journal.

PRESIDENT: There is no objection to him printing it in the Journal, Senator Carpenter. That motion has already been carried. Senator Marsh.

SENATOR MARSH: I wanted to comment that the Tennessee has voted to rescind its ratification of the Equal Rights Amendment. Nebraska State Senator, Richard Proud, told the Senators earlier in the session that making the ERA part of the constitution would cause women to be drafted and sent to battle even if they were pregnant. ERA proponents were not allowed to oppose Proud or comment during the presentation and I thought that this was an interesting article to come from Nashville, Tenn.

PRESIDENT: Speaker Proud.

SPEAKER PROUD: Well I would like to comment on that. You have every right to say this Senator Marsh, but unfortunately for you you are putting yourself in the same position as the Lincoln Journal. The truth of the matter is that what the United Press reports me as saying, I didn't say. So the Lincoln Journal who didn't have the nerve or the brains to talk to me before they read this UPI story, apparently took news reporting for the truth. Which is a very naive assumption to say the least, and that article from the UPI part of it is an out-right lie and however the great Lincoln Journal has put a lie on a lie. They don't even quote the UPI correctly and I have the proof right here, and I'm going to print or have those articles printed side by side so that you can compare them. They don't even quote the UPI correctly. That is how dirty they are getting and I'm not going to say any more about this right now, because we have...we are too limited on time? I'm going to do later in the session, unless I change my mind. There is not a way that a state Senator can answer the press, unless you have enough money to buy a newspaper. Except for one thing, which just