

it, and I would like to explain it briefly. It is in your Journal and I didn't check the page on that....Vince while I am explaining....

CLERK: 1248

SENATOR STAHMER: 1248, thank you sir. Briefly what this would do, is that this would build or this is a bill dealing with the state auditors office and we have in passing legislation already signed by the Governor this year, allowed the state auditors office to go into performance auditing. I think that this is constructive, and I think that the Governor has signed the bill, and I think that there are many in this body that feel that a performance auditing is one of the ways we can improve government in the future. This amendment if adopted would allow the state auditor of public accounts in his own discretion to be able to do performance audits on political sub-divisions receiving more than \$25,000 in an audit year, from state monies. I think that if we are going to be more and more funding of sub-divisions of government that if indeed performance auditing is a good idea, and I think that most of you think it is that this type of an audit in a subdivision in case there were apparent irregularities.....

(end belt #1)
(start belt #2)

or in some cases samples could be taken to see the of programs that were adopted, such as 403 or other statewide programs to give some idea as to the competency of these type of programs. I am suggesting to you that this is discretionary and we are not asking for any more funds with this amendment a...a...but I do think that it would allow some sample performance audits and it would merely extend to those sub-divisions of receiving state aid the allowance for the state auditor to do these performance audits. That I would move to unbracket LB922 and return it to Select File for that a specific amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Carpenter.

SENATOR CARPENTER: May I ask that Senator a couple of...how broad is it? Where does it go? Does it allow you for example as the auditor to audit the city of Omaha?

SENATOR STAHMER: It would.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Any other subdivision of any other type of government...

SENATOR STAHMER: Receiving more than 25,000 in state funds. It would eliminate most of the small units of government and most of the small towns, villages and we control and etc. Only those subdivisions receiving significant amount of state funds.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Why limit it to that? To the amount of state funds received? Why not just anybody and everybody with out any distinction?

SENATOR STAHMER: This is a possibility in the future Senator Carpenter, I thought that this was the first step and I think it is a good step. I think that if you were trying to broaden