

(Start Belt #11A)

the kill motion. This is legislation that we have needed for a long time, and it is just absolutely ridiculous the number of people that are going along without paying the just dues.

PRESIDENT: Any further discussion of the bill? Senator Schmit, are you all through?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Speak once more Mr. President. First of all I would accept the amendment that Senator DeCamp has proposed and I would ask you again not to kill the bill. I would ask you also to remember one thing. The Attorney General talks about equal protection of the law, but who in the name of God is more entitled to equal protection than the man's own children? Now if you are going to vote against killing this bill, then that means to me that you put the rights of the Father, who refuses to support his children for whatever reason he may have above the rights of the children to be supported. You forget these things. You forget the fact that the vast majority of parents support their children, you forget the fact that a large percentage of fathers who are divorced and able to support their children, and you forget that these children must be supported and someone is going to do it and this person is going to wiggle out. I would oppose the motion to kill and I accept the DeCamp amendment and I hope that you will join with me and oppose this motion to kill.

PRESIDENT: Senator Fellman, do you want to close on your motion to indefinitely postpone? Senator Fellman is now closing.

SENATOR FELLMAN: Mr. President, members of the legislature, I think that Senator Schmit has turned this bill around into a very silly, silly, emotional argument that makes anybody who opposes this somehow or other against small children. Senator Schmit is a very effective man on the floor of the legislature and obviously everybody who votes for this, for the bill is doing so in thinking that somehow how a...a...or other they are going to help innocent kids. If that is the case, fine. I would suggest that my record in helping these innocent kids is just as good as Senator Schmit's. When it comes to welfare or any other measure before this body. I would only repeat and I am really kind of bothered by the evident lack of interest by some of the other men in this body who understand what I am talking about and I wish that they would have risen and would have stood up to speak. I think that Senator Luedtke who carries...I think a high degree of respect as the Chairman of the Judiciary committee of this body would agree that what I am saying a...and I think that many other people who have thought through this without emotionally becoming involved thinking that they are going to do something with people that need help. Senator Schmit wants to attack the Attorney General's opinion that if passed the bill would have a difficult time meeting constitutional requirements. Gentlemen and Ladies for a lawyer that is a pretty strong statement. I would doubt that any of you could produce an opinion from the attorney general or from any other attorney that would be any more matter of fact or any clearer. I can't believe that Senator Schmit intelligently discussed this bill and its implications with six or seven district judges, and I don't mean to imply that Senator Schmit is not intelligent, nor that the judges talked to are unintelligent, but I don't think that the issues have been aired.