

are teaching these children in these day care centers. It will be used as a tool to destroy democracy.

PRESIDENT: Senator Marsh, Murphy, then Duis.

SENATOR MARSH: Mr. President, members of the legislative body, in reference to the motion which was placed on 972, I would like to comment that each of the gentlemen in this body keeps referring to the expenses of this item. Rather than this being an expense an item, it is truly an investment in the lives of Nebraska citizens who do not have the powerful lobby that some of the other sections of ours or our state are fortunate to entail. I urge you to quickly vote down the motion proposed for 972 and to pass it on final reading. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Senator Murphy then Duis.

SENATOR MURPHY: Mr. President, as a member of the committee who heard the bill, I would point out as Senator Rasmussen indicated three of five women who testified at that meeting were mothers who are presently working who are supporting their own children and ask to be included in it. I also asked as in-as-much as the formative years of a child's life are principally the younger years at least through 6 or 7, if they didn't feel a much preferable alternative to this would be to subsidize the mother through those years so that she could in truth stay home with these children and possibly we wouldn't be getting some of the funny answers that we are getting. As far as the support of ADC goes, my fine red headed friend back here, tells me that ADC eligibility extends through 18. Lets divert some of the money that we are spending back to the age where children are truly in need. If we are going to help children, lets help children. If we are going to loose three million dollars in federal aid, we had better tax another one million dollars in this state. Federal aid is the same tax money that comes here. That money could be much much better used in keeping a child in the care of his mother at his home. Even Senator Rasmussen's coyotes refused to give up their tip at an early age.

PRESIDENT: Senator Duis.

SENATOR DUIS: Mr. President, members of the legislature, I wish to oppose the motion to indefinitely postpone this bill by striking the enacting clause, and I would suggest that you do have LB1054 in your file right now which is the general budget bill, and if you would turn to page 17 of that, those of you who are in doubt and read through the last paragraph there and also on page 18 where it directs how this money this million dollars which they have appropriated to the welfare department for this particular purpose is to be spent, I think that you will find that the budget bill is more explicit as to how this money should be spend than the legislative number 972. I think that 972 is more of an enabling act and directs the fact that if they receive 75% of this money from the federal government then they shall go into a program which would use the million dollars which is allocated in the appropriations bill 1054. I think that the appropriations committee should be commended. I think that they did a marvelous job in this respect in showing exactly how the million dollars should be expended. I think that we will find in the future they will do the same then and this legislative bill 972 is really needed in order to assure the welfare department shall pursue the