

March 11, 1974

contract today amounts to absolutely nothing. It amounts to nothing. Anybody can walk into or out of it at will without cause or without reason whatsoever. This has made the whole attitude towards the family a very destructive thing and the family or the children are just not a concern of anybody. We go through the motions here of putting little plasters on things, we appropriate money for homes for children and we do this and we do that but this is just a sign....just to salve our consciences. We don't get at the basic issue and that is the failure of the family, the breakdown of the family. Now, this is the whole problem. It's the destruction of the conscience. A man supports his children. We are getting away from that. But to try and fix this with this kind of legislation, I would support it if it would do any good. As I've said, if I'd never in my life saw another problem, family problem case in my office, I'd be very happy. But it happens, you can't get away from it. But we cannot pass this type of legislation where there is no relation between the offense and the punishment at all. And this is what we're doing. We might as well, this is the same thing, these young exhibitionists, half of them are probably sick who streak around with no clothes. We might say take their drivers license away, that's the punishment. Now, there's no connection with it. We might take their hunting license away. We can do all kinds of things like that. Probably a lot of people would rather hunt than drive, rather fish than drive. Let's find out, take their fishing license or drivers or hunting license away. There's be no difference. And the point is, there's no connection between the offense and the punishment we're trying to mete out and this is what Senator Fellman is trying to tell you.

PRESIDENT: Next to speak, Senator DeCamp. Senator Schmit, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR SCHMIT: I would like to ask permission, Mr. President, to rebutt what my good friend Senator Carstens has raised here if I could.

PRESIDENT: Well, the problem is that under the rules you can do that but everybody else has the right to speak because you've already used up your ten minutes under page, rule 2, section 10, page 10. While you're looking at that, let's let Senator DeCamp talk. Okay, thank you, Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Okay.

SENATOR DE CAMP: Mr. President and members of the Legislature. I'm supposed, I suppose I'm going to shock my fellow attorneys here and upset them just a trifle. I, like most attorneys in this room when I first looked at the bill, concluded good grief, how could you ever come up with an idea. This has to clearly be unconstitutional. Driving doesn't relate to child support. And then I talked to Senator Schmit and I talked to Senator Kelly and I listened to their arguments and I concluded it really is unconstitutional. This weekend I did a little studying and spent a little time talking to some people and going back over some child support cases. And I concluded not only may this be constitutional but if isn't at this particular time, I don't think there's any doubt but with some amendments or re-written, you could have a constitutional law to achieve this same thing. In other words, the utilization of revocation or suspension of a drivers license to achieve child support. And to understand that, we have to start looking at just how broad your powers