

March 11, 1974

first place the ex-husband is guilty of a violation if he does not follow that provision. So the concern that we hear or that we have expressed here about not maintaining proper records is academic because if they follow the direction of the court and I'm sure that some of you can correct me on this, they will make that payment to the court. Now, if I owe money to a bank, I don't pay it to the insurance company. I don't pay it to the finance company. I pay to the person to whom I owe it. In this case, they owe it to the Clerk of the Court and therefore...now, okay, if an ex-wife and I don't know if this would happen but if an ex-wife were assessed child support under the provision of this bill, I am sure she would be subjected to the same provisions as the ex-husband. I hope this answers your question. It is my intent in the case, the children shall be taken care of as the court directs, that's all I'm asking.

PRESIDENT: Now, Senator Fred Carstens. Then Senator DeCamp and Senator Marsh and Frank Lewis.

SENATOR FRED CARSTENS: Mr. President and members, I am going to make this brief. I, Senator Fellman has briefly touched upon the problem that exists on this. A drivers license is a privilege granted by the state to operate a motor vehicle within the rules and regulations set down by the state. And it can be revoked or suspended or whatever you want to do it under certain conditions involving violations in operation of that motor vehicle such as getting too many points per traffic violation or drunk driving or something of that nature. Now, what Senator Fellman is trying to tell you is simply this. That there is no relationship between the offense or if it isn't offense, the failure to pay child support for whatever the reason is and the summary...summarily taking away a person's drivers license which is a permit...which is a privilege to operate a motor vehicle. This is what he's trying to tell you and this is where the whole problem is unconstitutional. Now, there has to be some kind of a hearing involved. Senator Schmit says he wants to get away from these penal lawyers who are living off of these people who don't...are trying to collect child support. I think we're getting so completely out of focus on this problem. Insofar as I know there isn't a lawyer in the United States and I'm at the top of them who likes to have anything with domestic relations cases, divorce cases, child support cases. There is no money in them, they're depressing, they're degrading, they're demeaning, they're misery, they're completely failure of our society to know what to do with the problem of taking care of.....

(End of Belt 4A)

(Begin Belt 5A)

children. Now we exploit children in every conceivable way. We make them the victims of everything. We never worry about them. We precipitated and increased this problem when we gave the...when we destroyed the marriage contract by the so-called uniform no-fault divorce law, another beautiful thing. And we passed it, we didn't understand it so we passed it. But this is what we've come into now. The marriage