

March 6, 1974

Also the matter of due process with regard to the taking of a drivers license although we, understand these circumstances where you based the arrearage, on what a ministerial officer has said is arrearage. I'm not suggesting you can't take a drivers license because that's a privilege and not a right as I think we will be reminded of. But, at the same time, aside from the policy question of whether or not you should go this route, I think are the mechanical problems and possibly the constitutional problems involved.

PRESIDENT. Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President and members of the Legislature. I think Senator Luedtke is very properly and adequately explained the objections to the bill. And I'm indebted to him for it because he has and his committee has and Senator Fellman has done considerable amount of research in this area. I want to remind those members who are interested that the Public Health Committee did also hold hearings in this area and one of the principal points we discovered was this....that frequently the ex-husband did as Senator Luedtke has outlined. He has made payments directly to the person involved and now this is in violation to the court order. The court order says they shall be paid to the Clerk of the Court. We will never get to the bottom of the problem if we allow those persons to violate that number one court order. So, first of all, we're going to have to break these fellows to lead. They're going to have to be reminded that they're going to go to the Clerk of the Court and make that payment. Then it shall not be a matter of determination by the Clerk of the Court....a matter of decision. It shall be a matter of record and when it is a matter of record, it shall be a very simple decision because either it was paid or it was not paid. Then if it has not been paid, the balance of the bill comes in to play. The problem of taking the license as Senator Luedtke..... suspension of the license as Senator Luedtke has pointed out.....has been determined that it is a privilege, it is not a right. And, so therefore, we do provide for a hearing before the license can be suspended and I think that this is a very reasonable procedure in the event that the person is going to be denied the privilege, unjustly, that matter can be brought out in the hearing....in regard to whether or not the situation is.....is of consequence enough to exercise this kind of control. I think we've pointed out here a number of times.....and Senator Carpenter a few years ago and whose amendment called for the impoundment of the motor vehicle in the case of it's being used for the transportation of drugs. I have received many times comments about the effectiveness of this type of procedure. This person who is involved in not paying child support has no regard for his children, he has no regard for the taxpayer, he has no regard for the divorced husband who does pay his child support and who therefore by his failure to pay, not only burdens the rest of us but burdens the person who decides to abide by the law. And so this sets up procedure. It's very simple. It provides, as I said before, that the clerk shall get those records and bring them up to date and keep them. And there shall be no more of this money business of the ex-husband saying well, I paid my former wife and she squandered the money or something in this nature. It removes this problem. And I think if we're going to get to the root of the problem, we must see to it that the bill and that's what it is, it's a bill, it's a contract and it's a court order that it shall be handled as the court directs. Not as someone sees fit. Not as is convenient. Not as someone pleases. But as the court directs. Because if it is not handled that way, the