

discovered that the company said that well we discontinued that line of equipment, we are sorry that it didn't work out. The dealer has no protection and I have no protection. I have paid for it and the dealer has no recourse and so as a result the company gets away scott free. I have equipment that I have paid more for in repairs than the original cost of the equipment. The dealer again has no recourse against the manufacturer and the farmer has to pay. I think that I stand here as a farmer who is fed up with having to do the experimenting for the equipment companies and pay the repair costs and do time and time again, and finally wind up with it on the junk heap and then buy a new piece of equipment and do the same stuff all over again. I think that although I do not agree with some of the statements that have been made in regard to the consumer, I think that we have a responsibility to do some investigating on our own, but none the less, I think that Senator Waldron has a good point here and I support his move to bring the move back.

PRESIDENT: Senator Anderson.

SENATOR ANDERSON: Mr. President, and members of the body, I would like to join Senator Waldron in supporting this motion to move it back to Select File for his amendments. I keep hearing people say that we have many remedies for this under the current law. I have got several letters that keep making this same point. One of them I got a couple of days ago and I'm sure that all the rest of you got it was a reference to the income deceptive trade practice which we have right now. I dug this thing out and read it and after reading the thing I became convinced that we really don't have much on the books at all. It states at one point that they were talking about remedies available says that a person likely to be damaged by deceptive trade practice of another may be granted an injunction against them. I don't think that that is much protection against someone who has already been damaged. So I think that what Senator Waldron is talking about is right. I think that we need to support him and I think that this bill should be amended.

PRESIDENT: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DE CAMP: Mr. President, members of the legislature, Senator Schmit brings up a valid point,

PRESIDENT: A point of order has been called for.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Now Senator Duis explained the rules correctly. We have got one thing before us. We want to move the bill back. Nothing else. Now if you want to move the bill back, lets move it back, amend it and put it on Final Reading and let nature take its course. I move that the Chair adhere to the rules at least in this case.

PRESIDENT: Are you moving the previous question Senator Carpenter? I'm going to rule that we vote on this issue and give Senator Waldron the last opportunity, if there is anyone who wishes to overrule the chair you can make that motion at this time. Senator Waldron.

SENATOR WALDRON: Mr. President, I would just like to say a couple of things is all. In your bill book, the green copies, the copy that was amended and placed in there on February 28, 1974, is the one that we are talking about and it puts it in the