

March 5, 1974

PRESIDENT: Senator Keyes.

SENATOR KEYES: Also, Senator Kremer, the SID is subject to the County tax. To match the highway funds aren't they?

SENATOR KREMER: I would assume that you are right, they do not have an SID.....

SENATOR KEYES: I know I'm right, and I'm just bring it out for information. In other words, the SID to get their roads maintained the same as the county roads maintained, they are not only going to pay the county mill levy, but they are going to pay an additional mill levy up to 5 mills to get the same service performed. After they pay for building the roads to start with.

SENATOR KREMER: See, Senator Keyes, ~~what~~ we are dealing with here is an unincorporated city or village or an area, and as I understand it, it would include the ISD'S. They through some promoter generally, or through movement of some citizens, set up a certain community under the laws pertaining to SID'S. They build their own streets and their own roads. And then many times that are responsible for things disappear from the scene and those that built houses and homes in that area are left with the maintenance and the upkeep of those streets, roads, etc. Well whose going to take them over? Well this goes back to the introduction of LB160 of a year ago. We did make an interim study on this problem and came up with this bill. And it is true, that they county then if they are asked to take over a system or roads they do so providing that the roads and streets are built to certain standards. Then the bill goes on to say, that the county then if they take over these roads may assess, if a special district up to 1 mill for the maintenance of these roads. Now the amendment changes the 1 mill to 5 mills. Does that explain it to you?

SENATOR KEYES: Well, Mr. President, Senator Kremer I hate to oppose you, but I will say this, that they already are paying the same mill levy as the rural area is, and this part that's in an SID district, after the roads are accepted and build up to standard, should not be taxed in additional mill levy. I think we ought to vote the amendment down.

PRESIDENT: Any further discussion now of the amendment?
Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER: Just one statement here, I wish to clear, former Senator Ruhnke on this, because he did bring, this is not a five minutes before midnight proposition. He did bring this amendment to the committee some time ago, and like my problem is I lost it in the shuffle and I was not aware of it until I was reminded of it again this morning.

PRESIDENT: All right, the question is

(End Belt #3)