

February 27, 1974

of getting another judge to every come in and hear the case. And I think that we would actually complicate the administration of justice by having this adopted for the outstate counties. I move the adoption of my amendment. And then I'll support the bill.

PRESIDENT: Any discussion of the Stull amendment? Senator Fred Carstens.

SENATOR FRED CARSTENS: Mr. President and members of the Legislature. This is quite an important bill, and it's rather a little bit on the complicated order. And I at the present time at least would have to support Senator Stull's amendment. For the reason that he has already stated. Now most of you know that in our 91 counties of the state we have a county judges who would become the juvenile judges in many cases, and in many cases act in that capacity already. And I also know that in some of the counties we have appointed associate judges, and all counties have appointed associate judges in the absence of the county judge the associate judge is the only one there, and I think it would complicate the administration of justice to a large extent to eliminate the District Courts from juvenile jurisdiction. I'm also not quite clear just where this thing begins and ends in the matter of jurisdiction, it talks primarily about misdemeanors and traffic violations and things of that nature. But I also know that in many cases today we have juveniles, we like to talk about them as children, but there are some pretty hardened children in the children age today. Seventeen years and eighteen years and under, and I'm a little bit concerned about just how far we're going. But at any rate I think I would go along with this bill in those counties where this can work. Douglas and Lancaster county already have a separate Juvenile Court. And I believe if possible that it would work in those two counties to perfection, but it might not work at all in the other counties. So I would support the Stull amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Kelly has the floor next.

SENATOR KELLY: Mr. Chairman, I yield to Senator Barnett.

PRESIDENT: Senator Barnett.

SENATOR BARNETT: I'd only say that I think that it might be an attempt to try to kill the bill. I can't see why a child or a juvenile should be treated in different in Alliance than he is treated in Lincoln or Omaha. The only difference as far as the courts are concerned, or Beatrice. The only reason that the courts is different is that the country judge wears two hats. Now wouldn't it be rather ridiculous, and maybe you can convince me I'm wrong, but it would seem to me that it would be rather ridiculous that a person, or a young person in Alliance or Beatrice would be treated different for the same crime that might happen in Lincoln or Omaha. I think if I was a juvenile, I'd want to come to Lincoln to commit the crime or to Omaha. Under the circumstances that Senator Stull's bill. I can agree with Senator Carstens. Heavens yes, there's some tough cookies in the juvenile ranks. This doesn't exclude them from being tried in the county system and the adult system at all. It doesn't have a thing to do with that. It doesn't change that policy at all. But it looks to me like if we're going to start treating juveniles different in other part of this state, than we are in Lincoln or in Omaha, I think we are making a very bad mistake