

she has been taken for about \$200 but she went to small claims court and the judge said, well he said I really don't know, lets compromise this. He gave her back \$100 of it. Well if she had actually been defrauded out of this money she should have had the full \$200 instead of the \$100. This is what we could do under LB327 through the Attorney General's office. Also with 327 it would allow the County Attorney or the District Attorney's a if they had district attorneys at the time to take action too from their office in cooperation with the Attorney General. It is a very sound bill, and it is one in effect in many other states and I think that we would do well by adopting it here in the state of Nebraska.

PRESIDENT: First thing we need to do Senator Waldron it would seem to the Chair that would be to adopt or discuss or adopt and move towards the adoption of your substituted amendments here.

SENATOR WALDRON: I move for the adoption of that amendment which is actually the bill in what I was discussing. It is a complete substitute bill, making it easier for everyone to read and understand.

PRESIDENT: Alright, Senator Proud, Speaker Proud.

SPEAKER PROUD: Mr. President, I have got some questions of Senator Waldron. I have been lobbied on both sides of this issue and first of all I am wondering Senator Waldron if the committee adopted any amendments. I don't know.

SENATOR WALDRON: Senator we did, and we just rejected the committee amendments because the committee amendments we had placed the responsibility of this to the department of labor because at that time the Attorney General said that he would not want to have the consumer protection in his office. Since that time he has said that he will not run for re-election so his objection is really, even though he objects personally now, he doesn't have any objections, you know, for the office because he wouldn't have the enforcement of this since it doesn't go into effect until January 1, 1975. So that, and I have talked to the committee about this making this substitution and I believe that they were all in agreement that they were in agreement to the bill originally, because we did have some opposition in the committee. It was no 100%, I think that there was one opposed that I know of for sure. I want to say one other thing Senator Proud is that all states except one have this consumer division in this or in the Attorney General Office so I think that this is a rightful place. That is the main difference in my amendment vs. the committee amendments.

SENATOR PROUD: What are the other differences? I believe that you said that this was a substitute bill.

SENATOR WALDRON: Oh, I might say that the committee amendment was a substitute bill too. The main reason Senator, the reason that I do it that way, is that it is very lengthy and if you add new phrases and new words in certain places you know like we are going to bind with LB354 in a lengthy bill it is hard for the individual Senator to read through it and put all the amendments in the proper places. So in a bill like this I always have it completely redrafted and even though there are relatively few changes.

SPEAKER PROUD: What is the fiscal impact of it?